Michigan Department of Treasury 496 (02/06) Auditing Procedures Report

Man McKenge

Issued	under	P.A. 2	of 1968	B, as a	men	ded and P.A.	71 of 1919, a	ıs amer	nded			
Local Unit of Government Type						Local Unit Name					County	
Пс	ounty	□ Ci	tv \square	Twp	П	Village ⊠ 0	Other G	reater	Lapeer County Utilit	ies Auth	ority	Lapeer
	al Yea	· End				Opinion Dat	te		Date Audit Report		ed to Sate	-1
		6/30/0	16			8	3/10/06				8/24/06	
We aff	irm tha	t:										
We are	e certifi	ed publ	ic acco	ountant	ts lic	ensed to prac	tice in Michig	an.				
							ses have be	en discl	osed in the financial s	tatements	s, including the notes,	or in the Management Letter
(repon	of com	iments	and re	comm	enaa	ations).						
	YES	9	Check each applicable box below. (See instructions for further detail.)									
1.	\boxtimes		All required component units/funds/agencies of the local unit are included in the financial statements and/or disclosed in the reporting entity notes to the financial statements as necessary.									
2.	\boxtimes			There are no accumulated deficits in one or more of this unit's unreserved fund balances/unrestricted net assets (P.A. 275 of 1980) or the local unit has not exceeded its budget for expenditures.								
3.	\boxtimes		The I	The local unit is in compliance with the Uniform Chart of Accounts issued by the Department of Treasury.							ry.	
4.	\boxtimes		The local unit has adopted a budget for all required funds.									
5.	\boxtimes		A pul	blic he	aring	g on the budge	et was held ir	accord	dance with State statut	е.		
6.	\boxtimes			The local unit has not violated the Municipal Finance Act, an order issued under the Emergency Municipal Loan Act, or other guidance as issued by the Local Audit and Finance Division.								
7.	\boxtimes		The local unit has not been delinquent in distributing tax revenues that were collected for another taxing unit.					g unit.				
8.	\boxtimes		The local unit only holds deposits/investments that comply with statutory requirements.									
9.	\boxtimes		The local unit has no illegal or unauthorized expenditures that came to our attention as defined in the <i>Bulletin for Audits of Local Units of Government in Michigan</i> , as revised (see Appendix H of Bulletin).									
10.			not b	There are no indications of defalcation, fraud or embezzlement, which came to our attention during the course of our audit that have not been previously communicated to the Local Audit and Finance Division (LAFD). If there is such activity that has not been communicated, please submit a separate report under separate cover.								
11.	\boxtimes		The local unit is free of repeated comments from previous years.									
12.	\boxtimes		The audit opinion is UNQUALIFIED.									
13.	\boxtimes		The local unit has complied with GASB 34 or GASB 34 as modified by MCGAA Statement #7 and other generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP).									
14.	\boxtimes		The board or council approves all invoices prior to payment as required by charter or statute.									
15.	\boxtimes		Το οι	To our knowledge, bank reconciliations that were reviewed were performed timely.								
any o	ocal uni other au nission	udit rep	ernme ort, no	ent (aut or do th	thori ney	ties and comr obtain a stan	nissions inclu d-alone audit	ided) is , pleas	operating within the be enclose the name(s	oundaries), addres	s of the audited entity s(es), and a descript	and is not included in this or ion(s) of the authority and/or
			certify	that th	is sta	atement is co	mplete and a	ccurate	in all respects.			
We have enclosed the following:				Enclosed	Not Required (enter a brief justification)							
Financial Statements												
The letter of Comments and Recommendations					No comments							
Other (Describe)												
Certified Public Accountant (Firm Name) Yeo & Yeo, P.C.				1			Telepho 989-793	one Number 3-9830				
Street Address					Ci		State		Zip			
3023 Davenport Authorizing CPA Signature Printe					Printed Nar	ne	aginaw	MI	License Number	48602		
Man McKenge				Mari McKei	nzie			10725				

GREATER LAPEER COUNTY UTILITIES AUTHORITY

Annual Financial Statements

and

Auditors' Report

June 30, 2006



Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
Independent Auditors' Report	1
Basic Financial Statements	
Statement of Net Assets	2
Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets	3
Statement of Cash Flows	4
Notes to Financial Statements	5





Independent Auditors' Report

Board of Trustees Greater Lapeer County Utilities Authority County of Lapeer State of Michigan

We have audited the statement of net assets of the Greater Lapeer County Utilities Authority as of June 30, 2006 and 2005 and the related statements of revenue, expenses and changes in net assets, and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Greater Lapeer County Utilities Authority's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the basic financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Greater Lapeer County Utilities Authority at June 30, 2006 and 2005, and the results of its operations and cash flows for the years then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The Authority has not presented Management's Discussion and Analysis, which accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America have determined is necessary to supplement, although not required to be part of, the basic financial statements.

Saginaw, Michigan

Yeo & Yeo, P.C.

August 10, 2006

Greater Lapeer County Utilities Authority Statement of Net Assets

		June 30,			
		2006	2005		
Assets Cash Due from other governmental units City of Lapeer City of Imlay City City of Almont	\$	61,963 144,041 98,787 26,271	\$ 60,765 141,522 96,540 10,140		
Township of Mayfield		9,097	416		
Total assets		340,159	309,383		
Liabilities Due to other governmental units Deposits		278,195	248,618		
City of Lapeer City of Imlay City		36,400 16,500	36,400 16,500		
Total liabilities		331,095	301,518		
Net Assets Unrestricted	<u>\$</u>	9,064	\$ 7,865		

Greater Lapeer County Utilities Authority Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets

		Year Ended June 30,			
	2006	2005			
Operating revenues Intergovernmental - local	<u>\$ 1,523,059</u>	\$ 1,429,046			
Expenses Water purchased - City of Detroit Legal and professional Miscellaneous	1,521,214 2,950 16	1,427,460 3,160 15			
Total expenses	1,524,180	1,430,635			
Operating loss	(1,121)	(1,589)			
Nonoperating revenues Interest income	2,320	1,140			
Increase (decrease) in net assets	1,199	(449)			
Net assets, beginning of year	7,865	8,314			
Net assets, end of year	<u>\$ 9,064</u>	\$ 7,865			

Greater Lapeer County Utilities Authority Statement of Cash Flows

	Year Ended June 30,			
		2006		2005
Cash flows from operating activities Intergovernmental receipts Payment to suppliers	-	I,493,481 I,494,602)		1,439,166 1,440,755)
Cash flow used by operating activities		(1,121)		(1,589)
Cash flows from investing activities Interest income		2,319		1,140
Net change in cash		1,198		(449)
Cash, beginning of year		60,765		61,214
Cash, end of year	\$	61,963	\$	60,765
Reconciliation of net operating revenues (expenses) to net cash provided by (used by) operating activities:				
Operating loss	\$	(1,121)	\$	(1,589)
(Increase) decrease in Due from other governmental units (Decrease) increase in		(29,577)		10,120
Due to other governmental units		29,577		(10,120)
Net cash used by operating activities	\$	(1,121)	\$	(1,589)

Greater Lapeer County Utilities Authority Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2006

NOTE 1 – DESCRIPTION OF THE ENTITY

The Greater Lapeer County Utilities Authority was created to facilitate water services for municipalities in Lapeer County.

NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The accounting policies of the Greater Lapeer Utilities Authority conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America applicable to state and local governmental units. As allowed by Government Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 20, the Authority has not elected to apply FASB statements and interpretations issued after November 30, 1989 to its financial statements.

The following significant policies were applied in the preparation of the accompanying financial statements:

(a) THE REPORTING ENTITY

In accordance with the provision of GASB Statement 14, certain other governmental organizations are not considered to be part of the Utilities Authority entity for financial reporting purposes. The criteria established by GASB for determining the various governmental organizations to be included in the reporting entity's financial statements include oversight responsibility, scope of public service, and special financing relationships. On this basis, the financial statements of the various governmental organizations participating in the Authority are not included in the financial statements of the Utilities Authority.

(b) BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The financial activities of the Authority are recorded within one fund, categorized and described as follows:

PROPRIETARY FUND

Enterprise Fund – The enterprise fund reports operations that provide services, which are financed primarily by user charges.

The accrual basis of accounting is used to record transactions.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect certain reported amounts and disclosures. Accordingly actual results could differ from the estimates.

(c) CASH

For the purpose of the statement of cash flows, all highly liquid investments with a maturity of 90 days or less when purchased are considered to be cash.



Greater Lapeer County Utilities Authority Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2006

(d) DUE FROM OTHER GOVERNMENTAL UNITS

Due from other governmental units have been recognized for all significant amounts due to the Authority. Allowances for uncollectible accounts have not been provided because management does not consider collection doubtful, and feels that uncollected amounts would be immaterial.

NOTE 3 – DEPOSITS

At year end, the Authority's deposits were reported in the basic financial statements in the following categories:

Business-type activities

\$ 61,963

Interest rate risk – In accordance with its investment policy, the Authority manages its exposure to declines in fair values by limiting the maturity of its investments within each investment category.

Credit risk – State statutes and the Authority's investment policy authorize the Authority to make deposits in the accounts of federally insured banks, credit unions, and savings and loan associations that have an office in Michigan; the Authority is allowed to invest in U.S. Treasury or Agency obligations, U.S. government repurchase agreements, bankers' acceptances, commercial paper rated prime at the time of purchase that matures not more than 270 days after the date of purchase, mutual funds, and investment pools that are composed of authorized investment vehicles.

Concentration of credit risk – The Authority's investment policy establishes limits on the amount of investment in any one issuer within each investment category as well as within the investment portfolio as a whole.

Custodial credit risk – deposits – In the case of deposits, this is the risk that in the event of a bank failure, the Authority's deposits may not be returned to it. The Authority does not have a deposit policy for custodial credit risk. The carrying amount of the Authority's deposits with financial institutions as of June 30, 2006 was \$61,693, all of which was cash. The actual bank balances amounted to \$61,693 and was totally insured through the FDIC.

